			୍ଦ୍ର	(12 Apr 65)
25X1	ILLEGII	Approved For Release 2002/0	Ĺ	
NR	RO REVIEW C	OMPLETED		25X1 # 0187-65 Copy 6 of 7  1 2 APR 1965
	O REVIEW O			
		MEMORANDUM FOR:	Director	of Central Intelligence
		SUBJECT:	Search/S	irveillance Steering Group
	25X1A 25X1A	Surveillance Photo Sate Task Force is to be predicted and upon approval the final decision with a Task Force final report  2. Under the temporal has submitted and appropriate evaluate.	oup and Tar is for chars lite System esented to the there, mig- espect to a with a sum rms of refe ted his own e final repo- hed. In ord ion be made	been participating on Dr. sk Force which was set up acteristics of a new Search/ a. The final report of the se Steering Group on 15 April th form an important input to new system. A copy of this amary is attached.  rence of his participation, personal professional judg- art by memo to Dr. McMillan, lier to insure that a complete of the Steering Group's u send the attached letter to
				ALBERT D. WHEELON Deputy Director for Science and Technology
	25X1A	Attachments 1. Copy of Task Force with Summary A. 2. Copy of Memo 3. Draft letter to Mr.	nalysis.	ort Dr. McMillan.
	25X1A	Approved to Release 2002/0	CFCRFT	Excluded from automatic (1997)   P80B01138A000100010087-8

Approved For Release 2002/08/28 - CIA-RDP80B01138A000400010087-8

25	X1A	
	0180-	65
Copy	7 4	7

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Chairman, Steering Group

SUBJECT:

Final Task Force Report

- 1. A letter from DDCI to DNRO dated 14 December 1964 centains the terms of reference for my participation in the Task Ferce and the Steering Group. In essence, I am limited to furnishing technical competence, but in no way can I commit the DCI nor CIA to the findings. For this reason, the following comments must be considered my own personal views, based on my understanding and appreciation of the technical and analytical aspects of the problems.
- 2. As a member of both the Task Force and the Steering Group. I find it a problem as to the proper procedure for making any personal contribution. In view of the short time between receipt of the subject document and the 15 April meeting of the Steering Group to discuss it. I chose to send these comments to you as well as to the Chairman of the Task Force.
- 3. In general, I find the Program Characteristics quite a good effort and, in my judgment, necessary to the translation of intelligence requirements into system characteristics and specifications. However, there are several key points which bother me. The first is the restriction of the booster choice to one in the Titan III family. This restriction, so far as I can tell, was not based on a complete examination and study of booster capabilities we requirements, considering trade-offs. As you know, a very great amount of detailed work has been done elsewhere on this problem with somewhat different conclusions. Other factors important, but not to my knowledge adequately considered in the booster



1					
_	_	Χ	4	Δ	
_	<u>'</u>	Х	1	$\mathbf{A}$	

	0180-65	
246	2	

SUBJECT: Final Task Force Report

specification, include the proved reliability, the built-in fast reaction capability, and the extensive available launch facilities of the Titan II as compared to the Titan III family. This is not to say that some day a Titan IIIX/Agena will not be reliable -- it will -- but at an as yet undetermined cost in dollars, time and operational failures.

for including, as a primary requirement, Crisis Operation -especially since this inclusion has such a large impact on the
system configuration in terms of orbit flexibility. I was not
aware of any effort to evaluate the penalty, either in effectiveness or cost, to the general search and surveillance capability
caused by inclusion of the Crisis capability. Furthermore, the
Crisis objectives appear to be rather undefined, at least in the
sense of not specifying whether strategic indicator targets or
crisis locale targets are considered.

25X1D			

25X1A

HANDLE WA

TOP SECRET
Approved For Release 2002/08/28 : CIA-RDP80B01138A006+00010087-8

	25X1A	Page 3
		SUBJECT: Final Task Force Report
	25X1D	
NRO 25X1 NRO 25X1		6. A fourth major question I have with respect to the program characteristics is the need for and cost of additional capabilities  I believe that additional capability, beyond the basic search and surveillance, must really be justified in a specific sense rather than in a generalized way. Even growth in the basic capability needs quantative justification. To the extent that more capability can be justified. I believe that cogent reasons can be developed for, first, more film, and second, ultra-thin base film. Extended active orbit hife terms of overall system cost effectiveness.
		7. Additional questions which I have include:  25X1D
	HAN	25X1A  TOP CEPT  Approved For Kelease 2002/08/28 : CIA-RDP80B01138A000100010087-8

TIP SELIKE Approved For Release 2002/08/28 : CIA-RDP80B01138A00<del>01</del>00010087-8

25X1A	4
	0180-65
Page	4

SUBJECT: Final Task Force Report

25X1D			
	<del>y</del> .		

8. I am submitting these comments in the hope that they might, in a constructive way, contribute to the early operational use of an intelligence gathering system optimized in the National interest.

	SIGNED 25X1A	
	Distribution:	
	Copy 1 - Dr. McMillan	
25X1A	" 2 - Dr. McMillan	
23X IA	" 4 - DCI (through DDCI)	
	" 5 - DDS&T Registry	
	" 6 - DDS&T Registry " 7 - SAS Chrono	
	7 - SAS Chrono	
25X1A	O/DDS&T/SAS/I /jh/7655 (12 Apr 65)	
	i	
	25X1A	
	25X1A	
HANDLL	TOP SECRET	
	Approved For Release 2002/08/28 : CIA-RDP80B01138A000100010087-8	

DDS&T 1731-65 Copy & of 7

**ILLEGIB** 

The Honorable Cyrus R. Vance

Lieputy Secretary of Defense

e The Pentagone

Washington, Reseau

4 - DDS&T Registry

3 Des California DDCI)

2 - DCI (through DDCI)

Cobh I - DCI Mareur letter of 19 November 1964, you requested
Distriput GA participation in a Steering Group and Task Force to

examine the requirements and alternatives for a new Search/
Surveillance Satellite System. In my letter of 3 December
1964, I suggested that the proposed terms of reference of the
Circup be modified to avoid overlaps or duplication with the
USIB structure and approved the requested CIA participation.
Even though the suggested modifications to the terms of
reference were not made, I approved the participation of
and Mr. Lundahl subject to the provision that
such participation would not commit in any way the DCI or
CIA to the Steering Group findings.

25X1A

I have recently seen a copy of the final report of the Task Force and find a number of problems with it. Without prejudging the Steering Group actions on the Task Force report, I would like you to send me the Final Report of the Steering Group for Agency study and comment before any actions are authorized or implemented.

Yours very truly.

John A. McCone Director Approved For Release 2002/08/28 : CIA-RDP80B01138A060#09010087-8

0186-65 Copy 4 of 7

6 April 1965

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Search/Surveillance System Steering Group.

- 1. There will be a meeting of the Steering Group (chaired by Dr. McMillan) on 15 April 1965 to receive the Task Force final report, which includes "Program Characteristics for a Future Search/Surveillance Reconnaissance System" and "Suggested System Evaluation Criteria". The Task Force earlier presented to the Steering Group a "Review of Intelligence Requirements for Search and Surveillance Satellite Reconnaissance".
- 2. The Program Characteristics report includes a summary of requirements largely taken from USIB D41.14/229. However, the Search and Surveillance requirement includes, as one of the primary goals, the need for operation of the system during periods of Crisis against any selected area of the world. The Crisis aspect imposes on the System the need for additional flexibility in targetting, as well as minimum response and data retrieval times as integral characteristics. Corallary requirements, to be met without interference with the primary goals, include technical intelligence, bomb damage assessment during general war, and Mapping, Charting and Geodesy. Important system implications for these corallary requirements include ground and on orbit survivability, and

goals, include technical intelligence, bomb damage assessment during general war, and Mapping, Charting and Geodesy. Important system implications for these corallary requirements include ground and on orbit survivability, and

25X1D

Approved For Release 2002/08/28 : CIA-RDP80B01138A000100010087-8

25X1A

**Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt**